PARAXIAL RAY METHODS IN INHOMOGENEOUS ANISOTROPIC MEDIA: INITIAL CONDITIONS

VLASTISLAV ČERVENÝ¹ AND TIJMEN JAN MOSER²

- 1 Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Geophysics, Ke Karlovu 3, 121 16 Praha 2, Czech Republic (vcervený@seis.karlov.mff.cuni.cz)
- 2 Zeehelden Geoservices, van Alkemadeaan 550A, 2597 AV 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands (t.j.moser@zeehelden.com)

Received: July 30, 2008; Revised: October 24, 2008; Accepted: October 31, 2008

ABSTRACT

Paraxial ray methods have found broad applications in the seismic ray method and in numerical modelling and interpretation of high-frequency seismic wave fields propagating in inhomogeneous, isotropic or anisotropic structures. The basic procedure in paraxial ray methods consists in dynamic ray tracing. We derive the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations in Cartesian coordinates, for rays initiated at three types of initial manifolds given in a three-dimensional medium: 1) curved surfaces (surface source), 2) isolated points (point source), and 3) curved, planar and non-planar lines (line source). These initial conditions are very general, valid for homogeneous or inhomogeneous, isotropic or anisotropic media, and for both a constant and a variable initial travel time along the initial manifold. The results presented in the paper considerably extend the possible applications of the paraxial ray method.

Key words: seismic anisotropy, seismic ray method, paraxial ray method, dynamic ray equations

1. INTRODUCTION

By standard kinematic ray tracing, we can determine the ray as a spatial trajectory, and the travel time, slowness vector and the ray-velocity vector at any point of the trajectory. We cannot, however, determine these quantities in the vicinity of the ray; for this purpose it would be necessary to compute new rays. In addition, certain important ray quantities cannot be determined along a single ray without computing vicinal rays. For example, this applies to such important quantities as geometrical spreading and ray amplitudes.

The limitations of kinematic ray tracing can be overcome by applying paraxial ray methods. Paraxial ray methods are based on an additional procedure, called dynamic ray tracing, which consists in computing the partial derivatives of position and slowness vectors at any point of the ray with respect to the parameters specifying the ray (ray parameters). Once dynamic ray tracing is performed, geometrical spreading can be determined along the ray, and paraxial rays, paraxial slowness vectors, and paraxial ray-

velocity vectors can be determined in the vicinity of the reference ray. In addition, dynamic ray equations have found many other important applications. Generally, paraxial theory enables the local study of a ray field and of properties such as convergence, divergence, and the occurrence of caustics. A comprehensive theory for paraxial ray theory in inhomogeneous anisotropic media is presented in Červený (2001, Chapter 4) and Moser and Červený (2007), together with many applications and references to previous work.

In this paper, we consider the dynamic ray equations in general three-dimensional inhomogeneous anisotropic media. They represent a system of linear, ordinary differential equations of the first order, which can be solved together with the kinematic ray tracing system, or along a known ray. They can be expressed in various coordinate systems. The most important are the Cartesian coordinate system and the ray-centred coordinate system. To solve the dynamic ray equations, the initial conditions at an initial point of the ray under consideration must be known. The aim of this paper is to derive and discuss the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations in Cartesian coordinates in general three-dimensional inhomogeneous anisotropic media. We consider situations where the initial point S of the ray is situated on an initial surface (surface source), at an isolated point (point source) or on an initial line (line source). In the first case, we obtain a single ray from S , in the second case a two-parametric system of rays from S , and in the third case a one-parametric system of rays from S .

For dynamic ray equations in ray-centred coordinates in isotropic media, a comprehensive treatment of the initial conditions for all the three situations listed above is given by Červený (2001, Section 4.5). The authors do not know of a general discussion of the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations in Cartesian coordinates in anisotropic media, particularly for initial surfaces and lines. Only special cases have appeared in the seismological literature, devoted mainly to a point source. For example, the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations at a point source in an anisotropic medium were given by Pšenčík and Teles (1996), and by others. Point-source solutions were also treated by Hanyga *et al.* (2001), who considered a very general anisotropic medium with an arbitrary number of interfaces, possibly intersecting at edges and vertices.

The discussed initial conditions for dynamic ray equations may find many applications in seismology and seismic exploration. They have to be known in all cases when paraxial ray methods are used intentionally. The standard approach to defining initial conditions by differentiating the ray position vector and ray slowness vector along the initial line or surface can be very laborious. In this paper, the formulation of Moser and Červený (2007) of the paraxial ray methods is used to find the initial conditions. One of the key results presented in Moser (2004) and in Moser and Červený (2007) is a factorization of the 6×6 interface propagator matrix in Cartesian coordinates into two matrices. One of these matrices allows the initial matrices for dynamic ray tracing from a known travel time distribution along an initial surface to be expressed in a convenient way.

For a smooth initial surface, the expressions for the initial matrices for dynamic ray tracing are relatively simple to derive. Consequently, we shall present the case of a smooth initial surface first. For an initial point or line, some modifications to the equations are needed, which we obtain by taking the limiting cases from the smooth surface to the point or line, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review very briefly some material from kinematic and dynamical ray tracing, needed for the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we define the initial smooth surface and its parametrization. The initial conditions for kinematic and dynamic ray tracing on a smooth surface are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 presents the initial conditions on a spherical surface and takes the limit to a point. Finally, in Section 6 a tubular surface is introduced for the purpose of taking the limit to a smooth initial line. Some concluding remarks are presented in Section 7.

For any general details on paraxial ray theory, we refer to Červený (2001, Chapter 4), and on paraxial ray theory in Cartesian coordinates to Moser and Červený (2007). In the notation, we closely follow Moser and Červený (2007). All 3×1 vectors are denoted in lower-case upright boldface (**a**), all 3×3 matrices in upper-case upright boldface (**A**). All 2×2 matrices are in upper-case italic boldface (**A**). Greek indices $\mu, \nu, \dots$ run over 1 and 2, Latin indices $i, j, k, l, \dots$ over 1, 2, and 3. The Kronecker symbol δ_{ij} equals 1 for $i = j$ and 0 for $i \neq j$. The summation convention is assumed for repeated indices in the same product. Derivatives or partial derivatives are denoted by subscripts as indicated when they are introduced.

2. KINEMATIC AND DYNAMIC RAY TRACING

We consider a high-frequency wave field, propagating in a smoothly heterogeneous, isotropic or anisotropic medium. The elastodynamic equation then yields a non-linear partial differential equation of the first order for the travel time $T(\mathbf{x})$, called the eikonal equation. In Hamiltonian form, we can express the eikonal equation as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ is called the Hamiltonian function (or simply the Hamiltonian), $\mathbf{x}$ is the position vector, expressed in Cartesian coordinates x_i , ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and $\mathbf{p} = \nabla T$ is the slowness vector, with Cartesian components p_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

For isotropic heterogeneous media, we express the Hamiltonian in the form $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} [V^2(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{p} - 1]$, where $V(\mathbf{x})$ is the spatially variable velocity of P or S waves. For an anisotropic heterogeneous medium, a suitable form of the Hamiltonian is $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} [G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - 1]$, where $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ is any of the three eigenvalues of the 3×3 Christoffel matrix $\Gamma_{ik}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = a_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}) p_j p_l$. These three eigenvalues correspond to P, S1 and S2 waves. The functions $a_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x})$ represent the spatially variable density-normalized elastic stiffnesses. Both the above Hamiltonians are homogeneous functions of the second degree in p_i . See more details on homogeneous Hamiltonians in Červený (2001, Sec. 2.2.4).

The eikonal equation (1) can be solved for $T(\mathbf{x})$ by the method of characteristics, which represent rays. The parameter τ , specifying the position of points along a selected ray, depends on the form of the Hamiltonian. Here we shall use Hamiltonians which are

homogeneous functions of the second degree in p_i (with a possible additional constant). The parameter τ then has the physical meaning of the travel time of the wave under consideration along the ray.

Every ray satisfies the kinematic ray equations (ray tracing system):

$$\mathbf{x}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad \mathbf{p}_\tau = -\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}}. \quad (2)$$

The kinematic ray equations (2) represent a system of six coupled non-linear ordinary differential equations. The initial conditions for Eq.(2) have to satisfy the eikonal equation (1), which is then satisfied along the whole ray.

We consider a two-parametric system of rays (orthonomic ray field) $\mathbf{x}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \tau)$, $\mathbf{p}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \tau)$, satisfying Eq.(2). Here γ_1 and γ_2 are parameters specifying the rays, for example the take-off angles from a point source, the coordinates at the initial surface, etc. It is useful to combine γ_1 , γ_2 , τ into one vector, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 = \tau)$, and introduce the 3×3 paraxial matrices $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \partial \mathbf{x} / \partial \gamma = (\mathbf{x}_{\gamma_1}, \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_2}, \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_3}), \quad \mathbf{P} = \partial \mathbf{p} / \partial \gamma = (\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_3}). \quad (3)$$

Matrices $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ can be computed along the ray using the dynamic ray equations

$$\mathbf{Q}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{Q} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{P}_\tau = -\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{Q} - \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{P}. \quad (4)$$

In Eqs.(2) and (4), subscripts $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ denote first-order partial derivatives with respect to these quantities, double subscripts $\mathbf{xx}$, $\mathbf{xp}$, $\mathbf{px}$ and $\mathbf{pp}$ second-order partial derivatives. The subscript τ denotes the first-order ordinary derivative with respect to τ along the ray. Equations (4) can be solved simultaneously with (2), or along a known ray.

Note that the dynamic ray equations are also sometimes called paraxial ray equations. Here we use systematically the term dynamic ray equations, as we are not explicitly interested in paraxial rays.

For homogeneous media, the dynamic ray equations (4) can be solved analytically. Taking into account that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{xx}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{px}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{xp}} = \mathbf{O}$ in this case (where $\mathbf{O}$ is the 3×3 null matrix), we obtain

$$\mathbf{Q}(\tau) = \mathbf{Q}(\tau_0) + (\tau - \tau_0)\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{pp}}\mathbf{P}(\tau_0), \quad \mathbf{P}(\tau) = \mathbf{P}(\tau_0), \quad (5)$$

where τ_0 corresponds to the initial point of the ray. Eq.(5) clearly demonstrates the great importance of the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations, $\mathbf{Q}(\tau_0)$ and $\mathbf{P}(\tau_0)$, in paraxial ray methods, particularly in computing the geometrical spreading and ray amplitudes. In homogeneous media, the 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{P}(\tau)$ remains constant along the whole (straight line) ray, but the 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{Q}(\tau)$ of geometrical spreading is considerably influenced by $\mathbf{Q}(\tau_0)$ and $\mathbf{P}(\tau_0)$. Eq.(5) also indicates the basic role played by the tensor $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{pp}}$ in paraxial ray methods. This tensor is also called the *wave propagation metric tensor* and has many interesting properties, particularly in anisotropic

media. For a detailed discussion of wave propagation metric tensor see *Klimeš (2002)*, *Červený (2002)* and *Vavryčuk (2003)*.

The computed 3×3 paraxial matrices $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ can be used to determine various paraxial quantities in the neighbourhood of the reference ray, without the need to trace new rays. For example, for $\det \mathbf{Q} \neq 0$, we can compute the 3×3 symmetric matrix of second derivatives $\mathbf{M}$ of the travel-time field with respect to Cartesian coordinates:

$$\mathbf{M}(\tau) = \mathbf{P}(\tau) \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\tau). \quad (6)$$

The initial conditions for the dynamic ray equations (4) must satisfy the constraint relation, which follows from Eq.(1),

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{Q} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}^T \mathbf{P} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The constraint relation (7) is satisfied along the whole ray, once it is satisfied at the initial point of the ray.

3. INITIAL SURFACE Σ

Consider a smooth initial surface Σ , given by the parametric equation

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}(u_1, u_2), \quad (8)$$

where u_1 and u_2 are the initial surface coordinates. They may represent, e.g., the Gaussian coordinates of the surface Σ . Alternatively, we can also use a local Cartesian coordinate system u_1, u_2 in the plane tangent to Σ at an arbitrarily selected initial point $S \in \Sigma$, with its origin at S . This local choice is very popular in the seismic ray method, see *Červený (2001)*, but varies from point to point along Σ . The initial travel time T^0 along Σ may be constant, or may vary with surface coordinates u_1, u_2

$$T^0 = T^0(u_1, u_2). \quad (9)$$

We assume that the initial surface Σ , given by Eq.(8), and the initial travel time T^0 along Σ , given by Eq.(9), are continuous and have continuous first and second partial derivatives with respect to u_1 and u_2 . We denote

$$\mathbf{g}_\mu = \partial \mathbf{g} / \partial u_\mu, \quad \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} = \partial^2 \mathbf{g} / \partial u_\mu \partial u_\nu, \quad (10)$$

and similarly,

$$T_\mu^0 = \partial T^0 / \partial u_\mu, \quad T_{\mu\nu}^0 = \partial^2 T^0 / \partial u_\mu \partial u_\nu. \quad (11)$$

Here, $\mu, \nu = 1, 2$. Note that $\mathbf{g}_1$ and $\mathbf{g}_2$ are vectors tangent to Σ , and $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$ are related to the curvature of Σ . The unit vector $\mathbf{n}^\Sigma$ perpendicular to Σ is given by the relation

$$\mathbf{n}^\Sigma(u_1, u_2) = (\mathbf{g}_1 \times \mathbf{g}_2) / \|\mathbf{g}_1 \times \mathbf{g}_2\|. \quad (12)$$

Finally, at an arbitrary initial point S of Σ , we can introduce the 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{X}(S)$ by the relation

$$\mathbf{X}(S) = (\mathbf{g}_1(S), \mathbf{g}_2(S), \mathbf{g}_3(S)). \quad (13)$$

At the initial point $S \in \Sigma$, the 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{X}$ combines the vectors $\mathbf{g}_1$ and $\mathbf{g}_2$, tangential to Σ , with the vector $\mathbf{g}_3 = \mathbf{x}_\tau$, tangent to the ray. Note that the definition of $\mathbf{g}_3 = \mathbf{x}_\tau$ given here is different from the definition given by Moser (2004, p. 50). In the following Section 4, we assume that $\mathbf{X}$ is regular ($\det \mathbf{X} \neq 0$), and hence that 1) the initial ray does not graze the surface, and 2) the parametrization given by Eq.(8) is regular, i.e. the tangent vectors $\mathbf{g}_1$ and $\mathbf{g}_2$ are linearly independent. Cases where the tangent vectors are not linearly independent, such as the initial point and the initial line, will be discussed in Sections 5 and 6.

4. INITIAL CONDITIONS FOR KINEMATIC AND DYNAMIC RAY TRACING AT A SURFACE

In this section, we select an arbitrary point S on a surface Σ , and construct the initial conditions for kinematic and dynamic ray equations, (2) and (4). The surface may represent a structural interface, the free surface of the Earth, an isochrone, or merely a formal surface in a smooth medium. The initial conditions for kinematic ray equations (2) must satisfy eikonal equation (1), the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations must satisfy the constraint relations (7).

Kinematic ray tracing from an initial surface was discussed in detail in Červený (2001, Sec. 4.5.1). For this reason, we will be brief and present, without derivation, the final equations. We start with the determination of the slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ at any point S of the surface Σ .

The tangential component $\mathbf{p}^\Sigma$ of the slowness vector to the surface Σ is given by the relation

$$\mathbf{p}^\Sigma = A_{\mu\nu} T_\nu^0 \mathbf{g}_\mu, \quad (14)$$

where the 2×2 matrix A is defined by

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{g}_1 & \mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{g}_2 \\ \mathbf{g}_2^T \mathbf{g}_1 & \mathbf{g}_2^T \mathbf{g}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

see (Červený, 2001, Sec. 4.5.1). The initial slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ is then given by the relation

$$\mathbf{p} = \sigma \mathbf{n}^\Sigma + \mathbf{p}^\Sigma, \quad (16)$$

where σ should be determined from the eikonal equation $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = 0$, and from the choice of the initial wave mode (P, S1, S2). For general anisotropy and for $\mathbf{p}^\Sigma \neq \mathbf{0}$, the following algebraic equation of the sixth degree for σ is obtained:

$$\det \left[a_{ijkl} \left(\sigma n_j^\Sigma + p_j^\Sigma \right) \left(\sigma n_l^\Sigma + p_l^\Sigma \right) - \delta_{ik} \right] = 0. \quad (17)$$

The six roots σ of Eq.(17) correspond to P, S1 and S2 waves which propagate in the halfspace under consideration, outwards and towards Σ . Proper criteria are needed to select from these six waves the P, S1 and S2 waves propagating outwards from Σ .

Finally, the initial values of $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ and $\mathbf{p}_\tau$ can be found by differentiation:

$$\mathbf{x}_\tau = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad \mathbf{p}_\tau = -\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}). \quad (18)$$

We call the vector $\mathbf{x}_\tau$, which is tangent to the ray at the initial point $S \in \Sigma$, the ray-velocity vector.

Now we derive the initial conditions for the dynamic ray equations (4) in Cartesian coordinates from an initial surface Σ , in terms of the 3×3 matrices $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$. We assume that the 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{g}_3 = \mathbf{x}_\tau)$ is regular at S and determine its inverse $\mathbf{X}^{-1}$.

The ray parameters γ_1 and γ_2 may be chosen arbitrarily to uniquely specify individual rays. We choose them, without loss of generality, to be equal to the initial surface coordinates u_1, u_2 : $\gamma_1 = u_1, \gamma_2 = u_2$. Now we will compute the initial matrices $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ at the surface Σ . We have to remember that the partial derivatives (10) and (11) are computed along Σ , but the partial derivatives $\mathbf{x}_{\gamma_\mu}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_\mu}$ ($\mu = 1, 2$), involved in $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$, are computed along the wavefront, see Eq.(3). We use the relation

$$\mathbf{g}_\mu = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u_\mu} \right|_\tau + \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \tau} \right|_{u_\mu} \frac{\partial T^0}{\partial u_\mu}, \quad (19)$$

which can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{g}_\mu = \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_\mu} + \mathbf{x}_\tau T_\mu^0, \quad \mu = 1, 2. \quad (20)$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{U}, \quad (21)$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{U}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -T_1^0 & -T_2^0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

This is the final expression for the initial condition for the 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{Q}$. Note that the matrix $\mathbf{U}$ was derived in Červený (2001, Sec. 4.5.2) and is denoted $\mathbf{Q}^{(u)}$ in Moser and Červený (2007).

The determination of $\mathbf{P}$ is more involved. We use the relation for the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ of the second derivatives of the travel-time field with respect to Cartesian coordinates, derived by Moser and Červený (2007):

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{X}^{-T} \left(\mathbf{M}^{(u)} + \mathbf{W} \right) \mathbf{X}^{-1}, \quad (23)$$

where $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{(u)}$ are symmetric 3×3 matrices, given by the relations

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{g}_{11}^T \mathbf{p} & -\mathbf{g}_{12}^T \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{p}_\tau \\ -\mathbf{g}_{21}^T \mathbf{p} & -\mathbf{g}_{22}^T \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{g}_2^T \mathbf{p}_\tau \\ \mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{p}_\tau & \mathbf{g}_2^T \mathbf{p}_\tau & \mathbf{p}_\tau^T \mathbf{x}_\tau \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M}^{(u)} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^0 & T_{12}^0 & 0 \\ T_{21}^0 & T_{22}^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

This yields, using Eqs.(6) and (21):

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{X}^{-T} \left(\mathbf{M}^{(u)} + \mathbf{W} \right) \mathbf{X}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{X}^{-T} \left(\mathbf{M}^{(u)} + \mathbf{W} \right) \mathbf{U}. \quad (25)$$

Equations (21) and (25) represent the final expressions for matrices $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ at the initial surface Σ . The expression (25) for $\mathbf{P}$, however, may be expressed in many alternative forms. For example, we can use the explicit analytical expression for $\mathbf{X}^{-T}$. We denote

$$\mathbf{X}^{-T} = (\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_3). \quad (26)$$

The vectors $\mathbf{h}_1$, $\mathbf{h}_2$ and $\mathbf{h}_3$ can be calculated numerically from $\mathbf{g}_1$, $\mathbf{g}_2$ and $\mathbf{g}_3 = \mathbf{x}_\tau$. In certain situations, it is also useful to know them analytically. For instance, this will allow us to perform a limiting process for some sources for which matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is degenerate (point source, line source). Analytical expressions for $\mathbf{h}_i$ in terms of $\mathbf{g}_1$, $\mathbf{g}_2$ and $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ are as follows,

$$\mathbf{h}_1 = (\mathbf{g}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) / \det \mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{h}_2 = (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{g}_1) / \det \mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{h}_3 = (\mathbf{g}_1 \times \mathbf{g}_2) / \det \mathbf{X}, \quad (27)$$

where $\det \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}_\tau^T (\mathbf{g}_1 \times \mathbf{g}_2)$. In vectorial form, the initial conditions for 3×3 matrices $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ at the initial surface Σ can be then expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \partial \mathbf{x} / \partial \gamma = (\mathbf{x}_{\gamma_1}, \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_2}, \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_3}), \quad \mathbf{P} = \partial \mathbf{p} / \partial \gamma = (\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_3}), \quad (28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_\mu} &= \mathbf{g}_\mu - T_{\mu\tau}^0 \mathbf{x}_\tau, & \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_3} &= \mathbf{x}_\tau, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_\mu} &= \mathbf{h}_\nu (T_{\mu\nu}^0 - \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}^T \mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{h}_3 (\mathbf{g}_\mu^T \mathbf{p}_\tau) - T_{\mu\tau}^0 \mathbf{p}_\tau, & \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_3} &= \mathbf{p}_\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$\mu, \nu = 1, 2$. Eqs.(28) with (29) are valid for arbitrarily chosen surface coordinates u_1 and u_2 along Σ .

The expressions for the initial values for dynamic ray equations, presented in vectorial form by Eqs.(28) and (29), are very general. They can be used for any three-dimensional smooth, regular initial surface Σ , for homogeneous or inhomogeneous, isotropic or anisotropic media, and for any distribution of the initial travel time T^0 along Σ .

We mention a number of special cases: 1. for a planar surface we have $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{0}$; 2. for a homogeneous medium $\mathbf{p}_\tau = \mathbf{0}$; 3. for an exploding surface $T_\mu^0 = T_{\mu\nu}^0 = 0$; 4. for an

isotropic medium we have $\sigma = \sqrt{1/V^2 - \|\mathbf{p}^\Sigma\|^2}$, where V is the velocity of P or S waves.

5. SPHERICAL INITIAL SURFACE AND POINT SOURCE

For a point source, matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is degenerate, and the approach of Section 4 breaks down. This difficulty, however, can be overcome if we use a spherical initial surface Σ of the radius a , and perform a simple limiting process: $a \rightarrow 0$.

Consider an initial spherical surface Σ with its centre at S^0 and with radius a ,

$$\mathbf{g}(u_1, u_2) = \mathbf{x}(S^0) + a\mathbf{n}(u_1, u_2). \quad (30)$$

Here $\mathbf{n}(u_1, u_2)$ is a unit normal to Σ , $\mathbf{n}^T \mathbf{n} = 1$, and u_1, u_2 are arbitrary parameters specifying the direction of $\mathbf{n}$ (for example, the take-off angles at S^0). Radius a is independent of u_1 and u_2 .

We assume that the initial travel time $T^0(u_1, u_2)$ along Σ is constant: $T_\mu^0 = T_{\mu\nu}^0 = 0$ ($\mu, \nu = 1, 2$). Also we assume that $\mathbf{n}(u_1, u_2)$ is continuous and has continuous first and second derivatives with respect to u_1 and u_2 . Vectors $\mathbf{g}_\mu$ and $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$ are given by relations:

$$\mathbf{g}_\mu = a\mathbf{n}_{,\mu}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} = a\mathbf{n}_{,\mu\nu}. \quad (31)$$

Let us first discuss the initial conditions for kinematic ray tracing. Since the initial travel time along Σ is constant, the initial slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ has no component along Σ and is given by

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathcal{C}\mathbf{n} = \mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathbf{n}. \quad (32)$$

Here $\mathcal{C}$ is the phase velocity (in the direction of $\mathbf{p}$). The expression (32) is valid even for $a = 0$ and may be used, together with the coordinates of S^0 , as initial conditions for ray tracing from a point source S^0 . Using Eq.(18), we also obtain $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ and $\mathbf{p}_\tau$ for a point source.

For $a = 0$, the system of initial slowness vectors from the point S^0 is two-parametric. The loci of the end-points of the initial slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ form a closed surface, called the *slowness surface*. For isotropic media, the slowness surface is a sphere with its centre at S^0 . In anisotropic media, it may display singular behaviour (parabolic lines, kiss singularities, point singularity). At any regular point of the slowness surface, the normal to the slowness surface determines the relevant ray-velocity vector $\mathbf{x}_\tau$.

The ray-velocity vectors $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ are tangent to the rays constructed from the point S^0 . The two-parametric system of rays from the point S^0 is called the central ray field. The loci of the end-points of the ray-velocity vectors from S^0 form a surface, usually called the *wave surface*. The slowness surface and wave surface are mutually related by so-called polar reciprocity (Helbig, 1994). The wave surface is usually more complicated than the slowness surface. It may be multivalued, with loops, cuspidal points, etc. It actually shows the form of the wavefront of the wave generated by a point source at S^0 . At any regular point of the wave surface, the normal to the wave surface has the direction of the slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ (Grechka and Obolentseva, 1993; Rämpker and Thomson, 1994).

To obtain the initial conditions for dynamic ray tracing for a spherical surface, we use the expressions (27) for $\mathbf{h}_i$:

$$\mathbf{h}_1 = (\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) / (ad), \quad \mathbf{h}_2 = (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{n}_1) / (ad), \quad \mathbf{h}_3 = (\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2) / d, \quad (33)$$

where $d = \mathbf{x}_\tau^T (\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2)$. Inserting these expressions into Eq.(29) yields

$$\mathbf{x}_{\gamma_1} = a\mathbf{n}_1, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_2} = a\mathbf{n}_2, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_3} = \mathbf{x}_\tau, \quad (34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1} &= - \left[(\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) (\mathbf{n}_{11}^T \mathbf{p}) + (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{n}_1) (\mathbf{n}_{12}^T \mathbf{p}) - a (\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2) (\mathbf{n}_1^T \mathbf{p}_\tau) \right] / d, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2} &= - \left[(\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) (\mathbf{n}_{12}^T \mathbf{p}) + (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{n}_1) (\mathbf{n}_{22}^T \mathbf{p}) - a (\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2) (\mathbf{n}_2^T \mathbf{p}_\tau) \right] / d, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_3} &= \mathbf{p}_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Taking the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations from a point source:

$$\mathbf{x}_{\gamma_1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_2} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_3} = \mathbf{x}_\tau, \quad (36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1} &= - \left[(\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) (\mathbf{n}_{11}^T \mathbf{p}) + (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{n}_1) (\mathbf{n}_{12}^T \mathbf{p}) \right] / d, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2} &= - \left[(\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) (\mathbf{n}_{12}^T \mathbf{p}) + (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{n}_1) (\mathbf{n}_{22}^T \mathbf{p}) \right] / d, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_3} &= \mathbf{p}_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

These are the final general equations for $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ for a point source. They are valid for arbitrarily chosen parameters $u_1 = \gamma_1$ and $u_2 = \gamma_2$, again for $d \neq 0$.

The expressions for $\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2}$ simplify if we choose the parameters u_1, u_2 in such a way that $\mathbf{n}_{12}^T \mathbf{n}^\Sigma = 0$. Then

$$\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1} = - (\mathbf{n}_{11}^T \mathbf{p}) (\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) / d, \quad \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2} = - (\mathbf{n}_{22}^T \mathbf{p}) (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{n}_1) / d. \quad (38)$$

We shall now present two examples of parametrizations in u_1 and u_2 , with special attention to the case $d = 0$. In the first example, we consider take-off angles φ_0 and δ_0 as the parameters u_1, u_2 , and specify $\mathbf{n}$ by the following relation:

$$\mathbf{n}(\varphi_0, \delta_0) = \mathbf{i}_1 \cos \varphi_0 \cos \delta_0 + \mathbf{i}_2 \sin \varphi_0 \cos \delta_0 + \mathbf{i}_3 \sin \delta_0. \quad (39)$$

Here $\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2$ and $\mathbf{i}_3$ are arbitrarily chosen fixed mutually perpendicular unit vectors. For $\mathbf{n}_1 = \partial \mathbf{n} / \partial \varphi_0$ and $\mathbf{n}_2 = \partial \mathbf{n} / \partial \delta_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}_1 &= -\mathbf{i}_1 \sin \varphi_0 \cos \delta_0 + \mathbf{i}_2 \cos \varphi_0 \cos \delta_0, \\ \mathbf{n}_2 &= -\mathbf{i}_1 \cos \varphi_0 \sin \delta_0 - \mathbf{i}_2 \sin \varphi_0 \sin \delta_0 + \mathbf{i}_3 \cos \delta_0.\end{aligned}\quad (40)$$

Note that $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{n}_2$ are unit vectors, but $\mathbf{n}_1$ is not: $\|\mathbf{n}_1\| = |\cos \delta_0|$. For $\delta_0 \rightarrow \pm\pi/2$, that is close to the poles, the length of the vector $\mathbf{n}_1$ goes to zero. At the poles themselves, $\delta_0 = \pm\pi/2$, and the approach based on the take-off angles φ_0 , δ_0 breaks down, since $d = \mathbf{x}_\tau^T (\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2)$ goes to zero. For this reason, we assume that δ_0 is not close to $\pm\pi/2$. Then we obtain $\mathbf{n}_1^T \mathbf{n}_2 = 0$, $\mathbf{n}_1^T \mathbf{p} = -\cos^2 \delta_0 / C$, $\mathbf{n}_2^T \mathbf{p} = 0$, $\mathbf{n}_2^T \mathbf{p} = -1/C$, and $d = \mathbf{x}_\tau^T (\mathbf{n}_1 \times \mathbf{n}_2) = C \cos \delta_0$, where C is the phase velocity in the direction of $\mathbf{n}$. Eqs.(38) then simplify to:

$$\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1} = \cos \delta_0 (\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) / C^2, \quad \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2} = (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{n}_1) / (C^2 \cos \delta_0). \quad (41)$$

The vectors $\mathbf{n}_1$, $\mathbf{n}_2$ and $\mathbf{n}$ are mutually perpendicular, and are related as follows: $\mathbf{n}_1 = (\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{n}) \cos \delta_0$, $\mathbf{n}_2 = (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}_1) / \cos \delta_0$. Using these expressions, we can alternatively express Eq.(41) in the form

$$\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1} = C^{-1} [\mathbf{n}_1 - \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_\tau^T \mathbf{n}_1)], \quad \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2} = C^{-1} [\mathbf{n}_2 - \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_\tau^T \mathbf{n}_2)]. \quad (42)$$

Equations (42) with Eqs.(40) fully coincide with those given by *Pšenčík and Teles (1996, Eqs.A.4)*, obtained by a quite different method.

In the second example, we again use the take-off angles $u_1 = \varphi_0$, $u_2 = \delta_0$, but try to avoid the difficulties with δ_0 close to $\pm\pi/2$. We formally express the vector $\mathbf{n}_1$, given by Eq.(40), in the following form:

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = \cos \delta_0 \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1, \quad \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1 = \mathbf{i}_1 \sin \varphi_0 + \mathbf{i}_2 \cos \varphi_0. \quad (43)$$

Here $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$ is a unit vector. Using Eq.(43), we obtain

$$\mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1} = -(\cos \delta_0 / C) \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{\gamma_1}, \quad \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2} = (1/C) \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{\gamma_2}, \quad (44)$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}}_{\gamma_1} = \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1 - \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_\tau^T \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1), \quad \bar{\mathbf{p}}_{\gamma_2} = \mathbf{n}_2 - \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_\tau^T \mathbf{n}_2). \quad (45)$$

In Eq.(44), $\cos \delta_0 / C$ and $1/C$ are constants. As the dynamic ray equations are linear, solutions with the initial conditions (44) can be obtained from solutions with the initial conditions (45) by merely multiplying the latter solutions by the constants $\cos \delta_0 / C$ and $1/C$. The dynamic ray equations with the initial conditions (45) behave well, even for δ_0 close or equal to $\pm\pi/2$. Moreover, they have certain fascinating properties. For example, if we compute the ray-theory Green functions in an anisotropic inhomogeneous medium, we need to know the geometrical spreading, calculated from dynamic ray equations with the initial conditions (45), not (44). *Červený (2001)* calls this geometrical spreading the

relative geometrical spreading. It can be proved that the relative geometrical spreading is reciprocal, but the standard geometrical spreading is not. For details, see *Kendall et al. (1992)*, *Gajewski (1993)*, *Pšenčík and Teles (1996)* and *Červený (2001)*.

6. TUBULAR SURFACE AND ITS LIMIT TO THE INITIAL LINE

Let us consider a fully general, three-dimensional, smooth initial line C^0 , specified by a parametric equation $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(u_1)$, where u_1 is an arbitrary monotonic parameter along C^0 . In this case, matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is degenerate and the equations of Section 4 cannot be used. This difficulty is overcome if we use an auxiliary tubular initial surface Σ , with the curve C^0 along its axis, and with radius a . We can then use all equations of Section 4, and from these equations we obtain the line source C^0 by a limiting process $a \rightarrow 0$.

The tubular surface Σ may be specified as follows:

$$\mathbf{g}(u_1, u_2) = \mathbf{x}(u_1) + a\mathbf{f}(u_1, u_2). \quad (46)$$

Here $\mathbf{f}(u_1, u_2)$ is a unit vector perpendicular to C^0 for any u_1 and u_2 : $\mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{x}_1 = 0$ (where $\mathbf{x}_1$ denotes $\partial \mathbf{x} / \partial u_1$). The second parameter u_2 specifies the direction of $\mathbf{f}$ in the plane perpendicular to C^0 at u_1 ; $\mathbf{f}$ may be considered periodic in u_2 : $\mathbf{f}(u_1, u_2 + 2\pi) = \mathbf{f}(u_1, u_2)$. Further, $\mathbf{f}$ is continuous and has continuous first and second derivatives with respect to u_1 and u_2 . The travel time along Σ is a function of u_1 only, $T^0 = T^0(u_1)$ and $T_2^0 = T_{12}^0 = T_{22}^0 = 0$.

In the following treatment of a tubular surface Σ , we shall consider only the leading terms in powers of a . The reason is that all other terms will vanish in the limiting process $a \rightarrow 0$. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_1 &= \mathbf{x}_1, & \mathbf{g}_2 &= a\mathbf{f}_2, \\ \mathbf{g}_{11} &= \mathbf{x}_{11}, & \mathbf{g}_{12} &= a\mathbf{f}_{12}, & \mathbf{g}_{22} &= a\mathbf{f}_{22}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Here, the subscript 1 denotes the partial derivative with respect to u_1 , and the subscript 2 the partial derivative with respect to u_2 .

We first determine the initial conditions for kinematic ray equations, particularly for the initial slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$. The unit normal $\mathbf{n}^\Sigma$ to Σ and the tangential component $\mathbf{p}^\Sigma$ of the slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ are given by Eqs.(12) and (14). Taking into account that $\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{f}_2 = 0$, we obtain:

$$\mathbf{n}^\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{f}_2) / \|\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{f}_2\|, \quad \mathbf{p}^\Sigma = T_1^0 \mathbf{x}_1 / \|\mathbf{x}_1\|^2. \quad (48)$$

Equation (17) can be then used to determine σ and the slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ is given by the expression (16), which is also valid for $a \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, Eq.(16) is sufficient to start the kinematic ray tracing. We can also determine the initial values of $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ and $\mathbf{p}_\tau$ from Eq.(18).

We can also express $\mathbf{p}$ in the form:

$$\mathbf{p} = \sigma \mathbf{n}^\Sigma + T_s^0 \mathbf{t}, \quad \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{x}_1 / \|\mathbf{x}_1\|. \quad (49)$$

Here, $\mathbf{n}^\Sigma$ and $\mathbf{t}$ are two mutually perpendicular unit vectors. The unit vector $\mathbf{t}$ is tangential to the initial line C^0 , and the unit vector $\mathbf{n}^\Sigma$ is perpendicular to C^0 . The quantity T_s^0 is the slowness corresponding to the initial travel time T^0 along the initial line C^0 , $T_s^0 = T_1^0 / \|\mathbf{x}_1\| = \partial T^0 / \partial s$, where s is the arclength along C^0 . Finally, σ should be determined from the eikonal equation $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = 0$, see Eq.(16) and the text following it.

Consequently, for every $\mathbf{n}^\Sigma$, the initial slowness vector $\mathbf{p}$ is situated in a plane specified by unit vectors $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}^\Sigma$, and the one-parametric system of initial slowness vectors at any fixed point $S \in C^0$, may take two forms:

- a) If the initial travel time T^0 is constant close to $S \in C^0$, the quantity T_s^0 is zero, and $\mathbf{p} = \sigma \mathbf{n}^\Sigma$. In this case we can speak of an exploding initial line C^0 (or *exploding wire* in electromagnetism). Then all initial slowness vectors at S are situated in the plane perpendicular to C^0 at S . In an isotropic medium, the loci of the end points of the initial slowness vectors form a circle, with its centre at S . In an anisotropic medium, the initial slowness vectors at S are again situated in the plane perpendicular to C^0 at S , but the loci of their end points do not form a circle, but may be more complicated (similar to the phase velocity polar diagram).
- b) If the travel time T^0 is a variable function of u_1 in the vicinity of S , the quantity T_s^0 is generally non-zero. Then the one-parametric system of initial slowness vectors at S is not situated in the plane perpendicular to C^0 at S , but the initial slowness vectors at S are inclined in the direction of $\mathbf{t}$, see Eq.(49). Consequently, the one-parametric system of initial slowness vectors at S forms a conical surface, with its apex at S . The opening or apex angle may be different for each $\mathbf{n}^\Sigma$ and $\mathbf{t}$, see Eq.(49). The loci of the one-parametric system of initial slowness vectors at S form again a circle in isotropic media, but this circle is generally not situated in the plane perpendicular to C^0 at S . In anisotropic media, they form a more complicated curve.

Once we know the initial slowness vector for given u_1 and u_2 , we can also compute the ray-velocity vector $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ at the initial point $S \in C^0$, see Eq.(18). The behaviour of the one-parametric system of ray-velocity vectors at S is not as simple as the behaviour of the one-parametric system of initial slowness vectors. The reason is that $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are not parallel in anisotropic media. Only in isotropic media is $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ parallel to $\mathbf{p}$, and the behaviour of $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ is the same as the above described behaviour of the initial slowness vectors. In anisotropic media, the one-parametric system of $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ is situated strictly in the plane perpendicular to C^0 at S for $T_s^0 = 0$ only in exceptional cases. The loci of end points of $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ may form loops and cuspidal points. For $T_s^0 \neq 0$, the one-parametric system of $\mathbf{x}_\tau$ at S

again forms a conical surface with the apex at S , which may be rather complicated, possibly multivalued, forming loops and cuspidal points.

Let us now discuss the initial conditions for the dynamic ray tracing system. For $\mathbf{h}_i$, we use Eq.(27), and obtain:

$$\mathbf{h}_1 = (\mathbf{f}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) / F, \quad \mathbf{h}_2 = (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{x}_1) / (aF), \quad \mathbf{h}_3 = (\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{f}_2) / F, \quad (50)$$

where $F = \mathbf{x}_\tau^T (\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{f}_2)$. Taking $a \rightarrow 0$, we obtain from Eq.(29) the final results for $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$:

$$\mathbf{x}_{\gamma_1} = \mathbf{x}_1 - T_1^0 \mathbf{x}_\tau, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_2} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\gamma_3} = \mathbf{x}_\tau, \quad (51)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_1} &= - \left[(\mathbf{f}_2 \times \mathbf{x}_\tau) (T_{11}^0 - \mathbf{x}_{11}^T \mathbf{p}) - (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{x}_1) (\mathbf{f}_{12}^T \mathbf{p}) + (\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{f}_2) (\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{p}_\tau) \right] / F - T_1^0 \mathbf{p}_\tau, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_2} &= - (\mathbf{x}_\tau \times \mathbf{x}_1) (\mathbf{f}_{22}^T \mathbf{p}) / F, \\ \mathbf{p}_{\gamma_3} &= \mathbf{p}_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

These are the final expressions for the initial conditions of $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ for dynamic ray tracing from the line C^0 . The choice of $\mathbf{f}$ is quite arbitrary; we only require $\mathbf{f}(u_1, u_2)$ to be a unit vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{x}_1(u_1)$ for any given u_1 and u_2 .

We shall now consider an important choice of $\mathbf{f}(u_1, u_2)$, suitable in applications:

$$\mathbf{f}(u_1, u_2) = \mathbf{v}(u_1) \cos u_2 + \mathbf{w}(u_1) \sin u_2, \quad (53)$$

where $\mathbf{v}(u_1)$ and $\mathbf{w}(u_1)$ are two mutually perpendicular unit vectors, perpendicular to $\mathbf{x}_1(u_1)$. We obtain then

$$\mathbf{f}_2 = -\mathbf{v} \sin u_2 + \mathbf{w} \cos u_2, \quad \mathbf{f}_{22} = -\mathbf{f}, \quad \mathbf{f}_{12} = -\mathbf{v}_1 \sin u_2 + \mathbf{w}_1 \cos u_2. \quad (54)$$

The unit vectors $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ can be chosen in different ways. Once one of them, for example $\mathbf{v}(u_1)$, is specified, the second one is determined by the relation $\mathbf{w}(u_1) = \mathbf{t}(u_1) \times \mathbf{v}(u_1)$, where $\mathbf{t}(u_1) = \mathbf{x}_1(u_1) / \|\mathbf{x}_1(u_1)\|$ is the unit tangent vector to Σ^0 . We shall briefly mention two possibilities of specifying $\mathbf{v}(u_1)$.

1. Consider the problem of edge waves, where the line C^0 is an intersection of two known surfaces Σ^A and Σ^B . We can determine the normal vectors $\mathbf{n}^A(u_1)$ and $\mathbf{n}^B(u_1)$ to the surfaces Σ^A and Σ^B at any point u_1 of C^0 . We can then determine $\mathbf{v}(u_1)$ in the plane specified by $\mathbf{n}^A$ and $\mathbf{n}^B$ (perpendicular to C^0), arbitrarily related to $\mathbf{n}^A$ and/or $\mathbf{n}^B$. Numerical procedures should be used to determine the derivatives $\mathbf{v}_1 = \partial \mathbf{v} / \partial u_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_1 = \partial \mathbf{w} / \partial u_1$.

2. Consider a line C^0 situated in a smooth medium. We can then use $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{n}$ is the main normal and $\mathbf{b}$ the binormal to C^0 (defined by $\mathbf{n} = (\partial \mathbf{t} / \partial u_1) / \|\partial \mathbf{t} / \partial u_1\|$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}$). If $\mathbf{x}(u_1)$ is known analytically, $\mathbf{f}_{12}$ can be also determined analytically. The price of this, however, is that we have to compute the main curvature $\mathcal{K}$ and torsion $\mathcal{T}$ along C^0 (following Frenet's formulae):

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \|\mathbf{x}_1\|(\mathcal{T}\mathbf{b} - \mathcal{K}\mathbf{t}), \quad \mathbf{w}_1 = -\|\mathbf{x}_1\|\mathcal{T}\mathbf{n}. \quad (55)$$

This yields $\mathbf{f}_{12} = \mathcal{K}\mathbf{x}_1 \sin u_2 - \mathcal{T}\|\mathbf{x}_1\|\mathbf{f}$.

3. Take a fixed vector $\mathbf{e}$, such that $\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{e} \neq \mathbf{0}$ for the region of interest. Define $\mathbf{v}(u_1) = (\mathbf{x}_1(u_1) \times \mathbf{e}) / \|\mathbf{x}_1(u_1) \times \mathbf{e}\|$ and $\mathbf{w}(u_1) = \mathbf{t}(u_1) \times \mathbf{v}(u_1)$. Then $\mathbf{f}$, as given by Eq.(53) depends smoothly on u_1 and u_2 , with $\mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{g}_1^T \mathbf{g}_2 = 0$, and $\mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_{12}$, and $\mathbf{f}_{22}$ are obtained by differentiation.

The expressions for the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations for an initial line C^0 , given in this section are very general. They may be applied to a three-dimensional smooth initial line with non-vanishing torsion and curvature, parametrized by any monotonic parameter u_1 along C^0 . The medium in the vicinity of the initial line may be spatially varying, isotropic or anisotropic. The initial line, forming an intersection of two surfaces (edge) is also considered.

We mention a few special cases: 1. for an exploding line we have $T_{11}^0 = T_1^0 = 0$; 2. for a planar line the torsion $\mathcal{T}$ is zero; 3. for a straight line both the curvature $\mathcal{K}$ and the torsion $\mathcal{T}$ are zero; 4. for a homogeneous medium $\mathbf{p}_\tau = \mathbf{0}$; 5. for an isotropic medium we have

$$\sigma = \sqrt{1/V^2 - (T_s^0)^2}, \text{ where } V \text{ is the velocity of P or S waves.}$$

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The expressions for the initial conditions for dynamic ray equations in Cartesian coordinates, derived in this paper, are very general. They can be applied to rays with initial points situated at a smooth initial surface, at a smooth initial line, or in a smooth medium. They are valid for isotropic or anisotropic, homogeneous or inhomogeneous media. The initial surface may be planar or curved; the initial line may be three-dimensional, with non-vanishing curvature and torsion. A non-vanishing initial travel time, which may vary with position, may be considered along the initial surface and initial line. As a special case, exploding initial surface and exploding initial line can be used. Along the initial surface, general non-orthogonal (Gaussian) coordinates or local Cartesian coordinates at the initial point of the ray may be introduced. The coordinates along the initial line may also be introduced in several ways.

The dynamic ray equations and, consequently, also the initial conditions for them, play a basic role in the paraxial ray methods. They are very important in computing geometrical spreading and, consequently, ray amplitudes. They are also required in computing approximately paraxial rays, paraxial travel times, paraxial slowness vectors, paraxial ray-velocity vectors, etc., in the vicinity of the reference ray. They can be used to compute the curvature of the wavefront, the ray Jacobian, the phase shift due to caustics, higher-order derivatives of travel times, etc. They have found important applications in two-point ray tracing, in the solution of various boundary-value problems, in ray-perturbation methods, in the investigation of chaotic rays (Lyapunov exponents), in the Gaussian beam and Gaussian packet summation methods, in the Maslov seismogram method, in the solution of various diffraction problems, etc.

Acknowledgments: The authors are greatly indebted to Luděk Klimeš for helpful suggestions and valuable discussions. This research has been supported by the Consortium Project “Seismic Waves in Complex 3-D Structures”, by the Research Projects 205/08/0332 and 205/07/0032 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic and by the Research Project MSM 0021620860 of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.

References

- Červený V., 2001. *Seismic Ray Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- Červený V., 2002. Fermat's variational principle for anisotropic inhomogeneous media. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **46**, 567–588.
- Gajewski D., 1993. Radiation from point sources in general anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **113**, 299–317.
- Grechka V.Yu. and Obolentseva I.R., 1993. Geometrical structure of shear-wave surfaces near singularity directions in anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **115**, 609–616.
- Hanyga A., Druzhinin A.B., Dzhaferov A.D. and Frøyland L., 2001. A Hamiltonian approach to asymptotic seismic reflection and diffraction modelling. *Geophys. Prospect.*, **49**, 213–227.
- Helbig K., 1994. *Foundations of Anisotropy for Exploration Seismics*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, U.K.
- Kendall J-M., Guest W.S. and Thomson C.J., 1992. Ray theory Green's function reciprocity and ray-centered coordinates in anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **108**, 364–371.
- Klimeš L., 2002. Relation of the wave-propagation metric tensor to the curvatures of the slowness and ray-velocity surfaces. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **46**, 589–597.
- Moser T.J., 2004. Review of the anisotropic interface ray propagator: symplecticity, eigenvalues, invariants and applications. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, **48**, 47–73.
- Moser T.J. and Červený V., 2007. Paraxial ray methods in anisotropic inhomogeneous media. *Geophys. Prospect.*, **55**, 21–37.
- Pšenčík I. and Teles T.N., 1996. Point source radiation in inhomogeneous anisotropic structures. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **148**, 591–623.
- Rümpker G. and Thomson C.J., 1994. Seismic waveform effects of conical points in gradually varying anisotropic media. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **118**, 759–780.
- Vavryčuk V. 2003. Parabolic lines and caustics in homogeneous weakly anisotropic solids. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **152**, 318–334.